

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/484,424 | 01/18/2000 | Satoru Bushida | VX002067 | 9684 | |
| 7: | 590 12/06/2002 | | | | |
| Varndell & Varndell, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 106-A South Columbus Street Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | RODRIGUEZ | RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2828 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| () | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/484,424 | BUSHIDA ET AL. | | | |
| . Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Armando Rodriguez | 2828 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Rueso | | | |
| | | Paul Ip Supervbisor | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 recites the limitation of the locking means and the bending means as being seperate structures, which was not considered during the previous examination and search of the claim limitations.